

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON
PORTLAND DIVISION

TERA HARRIS,

No. 3:18-cv-01902-SB

Plaintiff,

ORDER

v.

STATE OF OREGON, CITY OF
PORTLAND, CITY OF PORTLAND
POLICE DEPARTMENT,

Defendants.

HERNÁNDEZ, District Judge:

Magistrate Judge Beckerman issued a Findings and Recommendation [7] on December 3, 2018, in which she recommends that the Court dismiss Plaintiff's Complaint [2]. The matter is now before me pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) and Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 72(b).


Because no objections to the Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendation were timely filed, I am relieved of my obligation to review the record *de novo*. *United States v. Reyna-Tapia*, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121 (9th Cir. 2003) (en banc); *see also United States v. Bernhardt*, 840 F.2d 1441, 1444 (9th Cir. 1988) (*de novo* review required only for portions of Magistrate Judge's report to which objections have been made). Having reviewed the legal principles *de novo*, I find no error.

CONCLUSION

The Court adopts Magistrate Judge Beckerman's Findings and Recommendation [7]. Accordingly, Plaintiff's Complaint [2] is dismissed. Plaintiff may file an amended complaint within thirty days of the date of this order. If Plaintiff fails to file an amended complaint curing the noted deficiencies within thirty days, this case will be dismissed with prejudice. The Clerk's Office is directed to withhold issuance of any summons until further order of the Court.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED this 3 day of Feb, 2018.


MARCO A. HERNÁNDEZ
United States District Judge